

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/730,016	12/09/2003	Hironori Noto	10517/203	2723
23838	7590 11/13/2006		EXAM	INER
KENYON & KENYON LLP			CHU, HELEN OK	
1500 K STRI SUITE 700	EET N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			1745	<u> </u>
			DATE MAILED: 11/13/2004	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/730,016	NOTO, HIRONORI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Helen O. Chu	1745				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wi	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be a while under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNION (1.136(a)). In no event, however, may a road will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON (ute, cause the application to become AE	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26	October 2006.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-13</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-13</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
8)[are subject to restriction and	aror election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
The oath of declaration is objected to by the	. Note the attached	d Office Action of John 170 102.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. {	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some c) ☐ None of. 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p						
application from the International Bure	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/26/2006. 		Informal Patent Application				

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's Arguments/Remarks has been received on October 26, 2006. Claim
 has been amended.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S.C. code not included in this action can be found in the prior Office Action.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on October 26, 2006 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The recitation "another surface" is not clear to the Examiner as to which element the Applicants are claiming, therefore, for purposes of prosecution the Examiner is interpreting any surface on the stacked cell unit to be equivalent to the Applicant's claim.

Application/Control Number: 10/730,016

Art Unit: 1745

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 5. The rejections under 35 U.S.C 102 (b), on claims 1, 3-12 as anticipated by Hartvigsen et al. are withdrawn because Applicant has amended claim 1.
- 6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1, 3-6, 8-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Unkle et al. (US Patent 3,717,505).

In regard to claims 1, 3, 4, 6, 9, 10, 12 and 13, the Unkle, Jr. et al. reference discloses a fuel cell stack (Abstract) with frames (Applicant's separators; Figure 2, Component 15) separating successive electrode assemblies (Figure 2, Component 13). The stack cells have manifolds formed within the separators. The Unkle, Jr. et al. reference illustrates in Figure 3a component 35 is an internal passage system in the front end plate which is connected by suitable fittings 37 (Applicant's sleeve) to both of the electrodes of the first electrode subassemblies. These fittings provide a gas to the front surface of the first electrode assembly, and each electrode assembly (Column 2, Lines 57-63). Figure 3A also illustrates the internal manifold is tapered and substantially smooth.

In regard to claims 5 and 11, the Hartvigsen et al. reference discloses bonding and sealing the frame (Column 2, Lines 11-14).

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/730,016

Art Unit: 1745

In regards to claim 8, the Unkle, Jr. et al. reference illustrates an external surface parallel to the cell stack direction (Figure 3).

Claims 1, 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by 8. Bonk et al. (US Publication 2001/0001052 A1).

In regard to claims 1, 6, 7, the Bonk et al. discloses PEM fuel cell (Paragraph 27) stack with separators (Paragraph 50) and an internal manifold that is smooth (Figure 1)

It is noted that claim 1, 3-5, 10-13 are product-by-process claims. "Even though 9. product-by-process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process." In re Thorpe, 777 F. 2d 695, 698, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985). Since the disclosed invention of Unkle, Jr. et al. reference is similar to that of the Applicant's, Applicant's process is not given patentable weight in this claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The rejections under 35 U.S.C 103 (a), on claim 12, as anticipated by Hartvigsen 10. et al. in view of Grasso et al. is withdrawn because Applicant has amended claim 1.

Response to Arguments

Application/Control Number: 10/730,016

Art Unit: 1745

11. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3-12 has been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Helen O. Chu whose telephone number is (571) 272-5162. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on (571) 272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

HOC

Application/Control Number: 10/730,016

Art Unit: 1745

Page 6